UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/650,497          | 97 08/28/2003 Paul A. Blowers |                      | P0011281.00/LG10126 | 6963             |
| 27581<br>MEDTRONIC, | 7590 12/22/201<br>INC.        | 0                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 710 MEDTRON         | NIC PARKWAY NE                | REYES, REGINALD R    |                     |                  |
| MIINNEAPOLI         | S, MN 55432-9924              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                     |                               |                      | 3626                |                  |
|                     |                               |                      |                     |                  |
|                     |                               |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                               |                      | 12/22/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rs.docketingus@medtronic.com sso@cardinal-ip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/650,497      | BLOWERS ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |  |
| REGINALD REYES  | 3626           |  |  |

|  | REGINALD RETES   | 3020   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance<br>FR 1.114. The reply must be filed  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or                         | hich places the (3) a Request               |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>f).  | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FI                      | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);  | ΓE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>  | .,   |  | ne issues for                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  | ulaan ah a siila ah a aasa sa ahaa a                                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   | ·  | •  | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | I be entered and an e  | xplanation of                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  | 47   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-11, 13-22, 24-29, 31-36, 38-43, 45-</u><br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | <u>-47</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se  | al and/or appellant fail:<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                        | s to provide a<br>).                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach  | ed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the applicant argues that the refences fail to provide any disagree. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary teachings of Rueter, Hatlestad and Duffin. The well know the combination, each element merely would have perform the art would have recognized that the results of the com  | suugetion that would have rendere<br>skill in the art at the time the inven<br>on elements described are merely a<br>rmed the same function as it did se | ed it obvious examinel<br>tion was made to com<br>a combination of old e | respectfully<br>bine the<br>lements, and in |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | •  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   | . , , ,  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/C. Luke Gilligan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20101217